

BEFORE YOU BUILD A CHANNEL

A Leadership Guide to Profitable Partner Growth

How technology companies evaluate readiness, choose the right partner model, establish workable economics, and create a practical path to revenue.

For cybersecurity, cloud, networking, identity, infrastructure, and SaaS leadership teams.

Reid Cooper | Strategic Channel Advisor

INSIDE THIS GUIDE

DECIDE whether the business is ready for a partner channel.

DESIGN the partner model, value proposition, and economics.

ACTIVATE a focused path from recruitment to productive revenue.

EXECUTIVE PERSPECTIVE

A channel is a business decision—not a recruiting exercise

The first question is not “How many partners can we recruit?” It is “Why would a capable partner invest in us?”

Technology companies often turn to partners when they want faster market coverage, lower customer-acquisition costs, or access to buyers they cannot reach directly. Those are legitimate goals. But a channel does not automatically create leverage. It transfers part of the selling, delivery, support, and customer relationship to another business—one that has its own priorities, costs, and choices.

That distinction explains why apparently active programs can produce little revenue. A company signs partners, announces the program, and counts agreements. The partners then discover that the customer demand is uncertain, the value proposition is difficult to explain, the economics are thin, or the vendor still expects them to behave like commissioned employees. The agreements remain, but investment disappears.

© 2026 Reid Cooper. All rights reserved. •

The decision leadership actually needs

Before building a program, leadership should reach one of four evidence-based conclusions: build, pilot, repair, or wait. Build when the market, offer, economics, internal ownership, and partner value are aligned. Pilot when the strategic case is promising but assumptions still need testing. Repair when a program exists but friction is suppressing productivity. Wait when direct-market proof, partner profitability, or operating capacity is not yet strong enough.

“Wait” is not failure. It can be the most financially responsible decision. A premature channel consumes executive attention and partner goodwill that are difficult to recover later.

The central idea

A partner channel is a separate go-to-market system. It succeeds only when the vendor, the partner, and the customer all receive enough value to keep participating. The rest of this paper explains how to make that judgment and translate it into a practical path to revenue.

1 DECIDE

Readiness comes before recruitment

Readiness is not enthusiasm for the channel. It is the company’s ability to support a repeatable partner motion.

A leadership team may have a strong product and still be unready for partners. The product may depend on founder-led selling. The market may not yet recognize the problem. Pricing may leave insufficient room for a partner. Customer success may require expertise that has not been documented. Or the company may expect a channel leader to deliver results without authority across sales, marketing, product, finance, and support.

These are not minor operational details. They determine whether a partner can confidently attach its reputation, people, and customer relationships to the offer.

Six questions reveal most readiness gaps

1 Is demand repeatable?

Partners need a defined customer problem and evidence that buyers will act—not merely an interesting technology story.

2 Can the offer travel?

A partner must be able to position and demonstrate the value without depending on the founder or a small group of experts.

3 Why should the partner care?

The relationship must create meaningful revenue, services, retention, differentiation, or strategic advantage for the partner.

4 Do the economics survive reality?

Margin must remain attractive after the partner accounts for selling time, technical effort, delivery, support, and opportunity cost.

5 Can the vendor support the motion?

Onboarding, enablement, opportunity support, account rules, conflict management, and ownership require real capacity.

© 2026 Reid Cooper. All rights reserved. •

6 Will leadership make the necessary tradeoffs?

A partner strategy may require changes to pricing, packaging, process, product priorities, and direct-sales behavior.

The purpose of a readiness review is not to produce a perfect score. It is to expose the assumptions, risks, and minimum conditions leadership must address before it commits significant resources.

2 DESIGN

Start with the partner's business model

There is no universal "channel." Different partners create value in different ways, and the program must reflect those differences.

A referral partner makes an introduction and expects simple attribution and compensation. A VAR resells a product and may own the customer relationship. An MSP or MSSP embeds the technology in a recurring service and carries operational responsibility. A system integrator may care more about services attachment than product margin. A distributor provides scale, logistics, credit, and downstream reach. A technology alliance creates differentiation through a combined solution.

Treating these businesses as interchangeable usually produces weak pricing, confusing roles, and misaligned expectations. The appropriate model begins with the customer journey: who creates demand, who sells, who designs, who delivers, who supports, and who owns the renewal? Once those roles are clear, leadership can choose the partner types that genuinely improve the route to market.

Define the ideal partner by behavior, not appearance

The strongest prospect is not necessarily the largest company or the one with the most locations. A useful ideal partner profile examines customer overlap, adjacent capabilities, sales motion, technical capacity, executive priority, investment appetite, and economic fit. Those characteristics are more predictive than a logo or a broad claim of market coverage.

Domestic expansion and North American entry require different assumptions

A U.S. company expanding beyond direct sales must design a partner motion that complements its existing sales organization. Account ownership, lead flow, compensation, and conflict rules are especially important because partners will quickly detect whether the direct team truly supports the strategy.

An international vendor entering North America has additional work. Local buying behavior, contracting, service expectations, geographic coverage, market credibility, and available executive support may differ from the home market. The underlying methodology remains the same, but the order of investment and the proof required from early partners will be different.

The outcome of good design

Leadership should be able to explain, in plain language, which partners it needs, why those partners will invest, how the parties will work together, and what must happen before the motion can scale. If that explanation is complicated, the program is not ready.

© 2026 Reid Cooper. All rights reserved. •

2 DESIGN

Economics are the credibility test

Partners invest when the opportunity fits their business and produces a believable return—not because the program offers an attractive-looking discount.

One-size-fits-all pricing is rarely sound. A reseller, an MSSP, an integrator, and a referral partner contribute different value and absorb different costs. Their economics should reflect the work they perform, the risk they assume, the revenue they influence, and the customer relationship they maintain.

Acquisition and subscription models also create different questions. Who owns the renewal? How does recurring value flow through the partnership? Does the partner have services it can attach? How long will it take to recover the cost of training and selling? What level of presales and technical support will the vendor provide? These questions matter more than the headline margin percentage.

Look at the transaction through the partner's eyes

A capable partner evaluates the entire opportunity: sales cycle, deal size, win probability, technical effort, delivery responsibility, support burden, services potential, renewal value, and the cost of choosing this offer over another. If leadership models only the vendor's gross margin, it will miss the financial reason partners disengage.

The vendor's economics matter just as much. A program that produces revenue but requires excessive presales labor, discounts, support, or executive intervention may not scale profitably. The objective is mutual economic viability—not maximum margin for one party at the expense of the other.

A practical economic standard

The partner should see a credible path to profit, and the vendor should see a credible path to repeatable revenue. The responsibilities, incentives, and timing must be explicit enough that both parties can make an informed investment decision.

Why partner-first design is a competitive advantage

Resellers and service providers are accustomed to vendors that describe what they want from the partner. Far fewer demonstrate that they understand how the partner operates, makes money, allocates people, and serves customers. A program built from that perspective earns attention because it reduces uncertainty and signals that the vendor understands the relationship it is asking the partner to enter.

3 ACTIVATE

The goal is productivity, not partner count

Recruitment begins the investment decision. Revenue comes from activation, field execution, learning, and repetition.

A signed agreement does not mean a partner has committed. Commitment becomes visible when the partner assigns people, completes enablement, identifies an opportunity, introduces the offer to customers, and works with the vendor to close and deliver business.

That is why early recruitment should remain focused. A small number of well-matched partners creates better evidence than a large, lightly engaged network. The vendor can observe where the value

© 2026 Reid Cooper. All rights reserved. •

proposition breaks down, which enablement is actually useful, how much support the first opportunities require, and whether the economics motivate repeat behavior.

The first 90 days: Decide → Design → Activate

PHASE	PRIMARY WORK	LEADERSHIP OUTPUT
DAYS 1–30 DECIDE	Validate readiness, market fit, partner assumptions, risks, and internal commitment.	Build, pilot, repair, or wait—with reasons and required conditions.
DAYS 31–60 DESIGN	Define partner model, value proposition, economics, roles, and operating requirements.	A focused program architecture and prioritized partner profile.
DAYS 61–90 ACTIVATE	Engage selected partners, support the first motion, and learn from real opportunities.	Evidence, corrections, qualified activity, and the next scale decision.

Scale only after the motion produces evidence

When early partners can move from interest to a qualified opportunity with less vendor intervention—and when the economics remain attractive after real delivery and support costs—the company has earned the right to scale. Until then, additional recruitment often multiplies friction rather than revenue.

THE LEADERSHIP DECISION

Turn assumptions into a practical growth decision

The Channel Readiness and Growth Blueprint helps leadership determine whether, when, and how to invest in a partner channel.

The two-week engagement is designed for companies considering their first channel, repairing an underperforming program, expanding domestically, or entering North America through partners. It brings together readiness, partner model, value proposition, economics, operating requirements, risks, and immediate priorities in one financially grounded decision.

Leadership leaves with a clear recommendation—build, pilot, repair, or wait—and a focused 90-day path. The work is deliberately practical: it identifies what must be true, what must change, who must own the work, and which decisions should come before significant recruitment or hiring.

Why Reid Cooper

Reid brings more than 30 years of technology-channel experience and 15 years owning and operating a successful reseller business that grew to approximately $15 million. He has built and expanded channels across cybersecurity, identity, networking, unified communications, infrastructure, and storage, working with VARs, MSPs, MSSPs, integrators, distributors, manufacturer representatives, and technology alliances.

That experience provides a perspective few advisors can offer: the financial and operating realities of both the vendor and the partner. Reid's programs are built around what capable partners need to invest, sell, deliver, support, and profit—while remaining accountable to the vendor's growth and financial objectives.

What the white paper does—and what the Blueprint adds

This paper presents the leadership principles behind the methodology. The advisory engagement applies the detailed diagnostic instruments, scoring logic, financial models, interview process, prioritization tools, and implementation framework to the company's specific situation.

Before recruiting partners or hiring a channel executive, determine whether the business is ready for them.

Schedule a focused 20-minute conversation.

reid@reidcooperchannelgrowth.com | 925.680.1883 | ReidCooperChannelGrowth.com

© 2026 Reid Cooper. All rights reserved. •